1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	MARIA J. TRAINOR,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 14-cv-05122 JRC
10	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT
11	CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting	TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
12	Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	
13 14	Defendant.	
15	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 V	U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local
16	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge	
17	and Consent Form, Dkt. 5; Consent to Proceed Be	fore a United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt. 6).
18	This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
19	406(b) (see Dkt. 34). Defendant has no objection to plaintiff's request (see Dkt. 37).	
20	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for a	an attorney who represented a Social Security
21	Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in	
22	excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v.	
23	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingen	cy agreement applies, the Court will look first
24		

1	to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the	
2	fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.	
3	See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the	
4	fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee	
5	downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or	
6	if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151	
7	(9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).	
8	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (see	
9	Dkt. 35, Attachment 1). See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808. This Court ordered that this	
10	matter be reversed and remanded with a direction to award benefits (see Dkt. 29). There has not	
11	been excessive delay and no windfall will result from the requested fee.	
12	The Social Security Administration has withheld \$13,103.15 representing 25 percent of	
13	plaintiff's total back payment (see Dkt. 35, Attachment 1, p. 2). Plaintiff's attorney has moved	
14	for an attorney's fee of \$7,103.15, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (see Motion, Dkt. 34, p. 1) and	
15	will refund the 28 U.S.C. § 2412 ("EAJA") fee of \$4,270.05 (see Dkt. 33) to plaintiff following	
16	receipt of the 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) award. See Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec. Admin., 698 F.3d	
17	1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).	
18	Based on plaintiff's motion and supporting documents (see Dkts. 34, 35-1, 35-2, 35-3,	
19	36), and with no objection from defendant (Dkt. 37), it is hereby ORDERED that attorney's fees	
20	in the amount of \$7,103.15 be awarded to plaintiff's attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).	
21	Dated this 23rd day of October, 2015.	
22		
23	J. Richard Creatura	
24	United States Magistrate Judge	